# CBCT-ASSISTED IMPLANT THERAPY: A CASE STUDY

Dr Nilesh R. Parmar, UK

In this case report, I shall show how CBCT coupled with chairside diagnostic imaging can help in planning, simplifying and executing implant placement in the anterior mandible.

### Patient history

A 44-year-old female patient who was undergoing long-term periodontal treatment presented with mobile and painful lower incisors. She exhibited very good oral hygiene but with a periapical area and mobility associated with tooth 14 and Grade II mobility of her lower incisors. The patient described difficulty and embarrassment when eating owing to the movement of her lower teeth and wanted a fixed solution.

#### **Clinical examination**

The patient had a lightly restored dentition with a thin gingival biotype. As previously mentioned, her oral hygiene was good and she was a non-smoker (gave up 11 years previously). She exhibited bilateral canine guidance with no evidence of any para-function. Her BPE scores were 312/231.

# **Treatment options**

Owing to the patient's history of periodontal disease and associated mobility, she was aware that some form of replacement was necessary. The patient did not want a removable restoration and preferred a fixed solution. In this area of the mouth, either fixed bridgework or an implantretained prosthesis was possible. After discussing the options and highlighting the increased risk of peri-implantitis in patients with previous periodontal disease (Esposito 2006), the patient opted for a fixed implant-retained solution. The treatment was to be planned in such a way that if she lost her posterior molars in the future, a full-arch fixed prosthesis could be made after subsequent implant placement.

# Treatment plan

Treatment was to be carried out as follows:

1. Continuation of periodontal treatment and oral hygiene advice;

2. CBCT GALILEOS (Sirona) scan to assess bone height, bone profile and associated anatomy;

3. Extraction of all four lower incisors and

tooth 14;

4. Placement of two SLActive implants (Straumann);

5. Restoration with a screw-retained fourunit PFM bridge.

#### CBCT

It was decided to take a full-volume CBCT scan to further assess the upper teeth and tooth 14 for future implant replacement. The CBCT scan showed excessive bone loss around the anterior incisors with a small area of periapical radiolucency around tooth 31. A cross-sectional view showed thick, well-developed cortical plates with very little lingual concavity. Owing to the good bone height and minimal pathology, immediate implant placement was planned.

Owing to the patient's bone loss, the lower incisors had drifted, giving a less than desirable tooth position. One of the patient's main complaints was the gaps that had appeared between the lower incisors and the uneven appearance of the incisal edges.

To aid implant placement in the correct angulation, a CEREC Bluecam image was taken and manipulated so that the lower tooth positions were in harmony with the rest of the dentition. This proposal was then overlaid onto the CBCT scan and was used to facilitate implant planning. The aim was to provide the patient with a screw-retained bridge with access holes though the lingual aspects of the lower incisors, whilst maintaining a sound margin of safety from the lingual cortical plate. Owing to the patient's previous periodontal history, it was decided to use Standard Plus implants (Straumann) in this case. The design of this implant incorporates a 1.8 mm polished collar above the active surface of the implant. This results in the implant-to-abutment junction being located 1.8 mm superiorly to the bone crest.

# Surgical procedure

The patient was given 400 mg ibuprofen and a chlorhexidine mouth rinse before the surgery began. The procedure was carried out under intravenous sedation using midazolam.

The lower incisors were removed using

periotomes and forceps. The sockets were curetted and thoroughly irrigated. A crestal incision with distal relieving incisions was made. Owing to the CBCT scan and surgical stent, only a small lingual reflection was necessary.

Implant placement was carried out using standard ITI protocols. Two SLActive Standard Plus implants (4.1 x 10 mm; Straumann) were placed. The implants exhibited excellent primary stability with an insertion torque of greater than 35Ncm. The patient's bone quality was estimated to be type D1-2 (Lekholm & Zarb 1985). Owing to the high primary stability and good bone quality, it was decided to adopt a single-stage surgical protocol, thereby placing healing abutments over the implants. The site was closed using 5-0 PGA sutures and a tooth-supported denture replacing the lower incisors was fitted. Careful examination of the denture was carried out to ensure there was no contact, or transfer of occlusal load onto the implants from the denture. The patient was seen seven days after surgery for suture removal and review.

The patient healed without incident and owing to the favourable lingual undercuts of the lower teeth was able to wear the denture comfortably during the healing process. Owing to financial reasons, the planned implant placement for the tooth 14 site was deferred until a later date.

After eight weeks of healing, fixture-level open-tray impressions were taken in Impregum (3M ESPE), and a four-unit screw-retained bridge was fabricated. The tooth set for the denture was duplicated on the final bridge, as the patient was happy with the tooth size and shape. Owing to the previous bone loss, pink porcelain was added to the bridge to improve the emergence profile and reduce the crown lengths of the lower incisors.

The bridge was seated and torqued to 35Ncm and composite placed in the access holes. A baseline long-cone periapical radiograph was taken to serve as a baseline for bone-level measurements. The occlusion was checked, with the patient exhibiting canine guidance in excursive movements. The patient was shown how to clean under the bridge using super floss and TePe brushes and placed on a long-term maintenance programme.

### Prognosis

The bridge has a good long-term prognosis, as this patient is highly motivated, and exhibits excellent oral hygiene. She is aware of the increased risk of complications, and the possibility of losing more teeth in the long run, but after having worn a denture for three months, she is determined to avoid becoming a long-term denture wearer. The patient will see me at six-monthly intervals and sees a hygienist every three months for maintenance.

Dr Nilesh R. Parmar BDS (Lond) MSc (ProsthDent) MSc (ImpDent) Cert.Ortho was voted Best Young Dentist at the 2014 Dental Awards. He was shortlisted at the Private Dentistry Awards in the category of Outstanding Individual 2011 and received Highly Commended for Best Dentist South



Figs. 1 Pre-op presentation



Fig. 4 A CBCT scan with a CEREC Bluecam overlay showing the ideal final result



Fig. 5 Stage 1 of implant placement, with healing abutments in place



Figs. 6 Presentation at eight weeks



Figs. 9 Lab-made screw-retained porcelain bridge on Straumann synOcta abutments



Fig. 10 The bridge



Fig. 11 Appearance at fit



Figs. 2 Pre-op presentation



Figs. 7 Presentation at eight weeks



Fig. 12 Insertion of final bridge



Fig. 3 Maximum intensity projection using Sirona GALILEOS



Figs. 8 Lab-made screw-retained porcelain bridge on Straumann synOcta abutments



Figs. 13 Appearance at one-month review